

From: [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: about the message to forum
Date: Thursday, September 16, 2021 7:58:24 AM

Hi, Dustin,

It is okay. We are not in any hurry. One thing is important: We cannot de-escalate Dan. We just want to do what is right for NIST, for PQC process, and for the community.

Lily

From: Dustin Moody <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Thursday, September 16, 2021 at 7:45 AM
To: Lily Chen <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: about the message to forum

Lily,

I meant to sent it yesterday at 5, but got distracted. I will send it very shortly.

Dustin

From: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 4:40 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: about the message to forum

Hi, Dustin,

I understand that you may still wait for more comments. Do you want to give the team more time or would you like to send the current version? There is no hurry to send. But on the other hand, one or two more days will not go anywhere. What do you think?

I think what the message will do is really about acknowledgement of his complaint. Really, no matter what we say, it will not solve the problem. We just let people know NIST position. (When I looked at Daniel's slack message, I actually think it is not impolite. Maybe I missed the point or I have a different understanding about English. In my opinion, it is polite. DJB did not say it is impolite either.)

I don't want to put you in the position to make the final decision. If you want to talk, please let me know, today or tomorrow. (I do not have any concern about the current version with or without the word "misunderstanding".)

Lily